



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,594	02/12/2001	John R. Bianchi	RTI- 112R	9490
7590 01/04/2005			. EXAMINER	
DONALD J. POCHOPIEN			PREBILIC, PAUL B	
McANDREW	S, HELD & MALLOY,	LTD.		
CITICORP CENTER, 34TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
500 WEST MADISON STREET			3738	
CHICAGO, IL 60661			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- d			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/782,594	BIANCHI ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ision and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ I 36(a) and the appropriat e fee. The appropriate ex	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under			
 (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 	onths after the mailing date of the final rejo 's Brief must be filed within the p	ection, even if timely filed, period set forth in	may reduce any			
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	pecause:					
(a) Keep raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);				
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 26-34.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on 13 December 200	$\underline{4}$ is a) \Box approved or b) $⊠$ dis	sapproved by the E	xaminer.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	^			
10. Other:		Paulh	las			
	•	Paul B. Prebi Primary Exam	lic			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/782,594

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed drawing changes are not approved because the original sp cification described these figures as cross-sectional views; see page 11 of the original specification, for example. Removing the cross-hatching, as proposed, makes these figures appear to be plan views since cross-hatching is always used with cross-sectional views. For this reason, the proposed changes to the drawings may implicitly add new matter to the specification.